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#### Abstract

The space groups of the periodic approximants (PAs) to the dodecagonal quasilattice in two dimensions are investigated. The Bravais lattices of the PAs are tetragonal ( $\mathbf{p} 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), rectangular (pmm), hexagonal ( $\mathbf{p} 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and rhombic ( cmm ). We present several series of PAs, each of which is derived from a prototype PA by a successive application of the deflation-and-rescaling (DAR), and the space group is common among the members. Each series is composed of two subseries; the consecutive members in each subseries are related by the 'proper' DAR and the two subseries are related to each other by the 'improper' DAR.


## 1. Introduction

We have shown in a previous paper (Niizeki 1991c, to be referred to as I) that self-similarity of a quasilattice (QL) gives rise to a striking relationship among the periodic approximants (PAs) to the QL: the Pas are grouped into series so that (i) each series is generated from its prototype by a successive application of the deflation-andrescaling, (ii) the space group is common among the members of the series and (iii) the unit cell of the PA is scaled up by $\tau$ with the series number, where $\tau$ is the scale of self-similarity of the relevant QL.

We have excluded in I the case of the dodecagonal quasilattice (DQL) in two dimensions (2D) because its self-similarity is unique among important QLs in 2D and 3D: the relevant self-similarity transformation is 'improper' in the sense that it is not a pure dilatation but a combined operation of a dilatation and a rotation through $\pi / 12$ (Stampfli 1986, Niizeki and Mitani 1987). Moreover, the DQL is rich in space groups of its PAs in comparison with the octagonal QL or the decagonal one because (i) the cyclic group 12 has four non-trivial crystallographic subgroups, $2,3,4$ and 6 , whereas 8 or 10 has only two or one, and (ii) $\sqrt{3}$ has three series of best approximants. We shall investigate in this paper the space groups of the PAs to the DQL and the relationships among them derived from the self-similarity.

We summarize in section 2 the properties of the DQL, and in section 3 its selfsimilarity. In section 4 we investigate extensively PAs to the DQL. This section is divided into four subsections. Section 4.1 is an extension of the theory in I to the DQL. Fibonacci number analogues associated with the DQL are introduced in section 4.2. The mother lattices of the PAs to the DQL are investigated in section 4.3 and several important series of pas are presented in section 4.4. Section 5 is devoted to a discussion.

## 2. The dodecagonal quasilattice

A QL is obtained by the projection method from a mother lattice which is a periodic lattice with higher dimensionality than the physical dimension (see, for example, Janssen 1988). Prior to the projection, the mother lattice is cut with a strip which is characterized by a phase vector and the window (a finite domain in the internal space). Two QLs with different phase vectors but a common window are locally isomorphic but two qls with different windows are not.

A DQL is obtained when the mother lattice $L$ is the dodecagonal lattice ( p 12 mm ) in 4D (Niizeki 1989a). The 4D Euclidean space $E_{4}$ into which $L$ is embedded is decomposed into the physical space $E_{2}$ and the internal one $E_{2}^{\prime} ; E_{4}=E_{2} \oplus E_{2}^{\prime}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{i}=\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\boldsymbol{e}_{i} \in E_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime} \in E_{2}^{\prime}$ be the basis vectors of $L$ : $L=$ $\left\{\Sigma_{i} n_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right) \in Z^{4}\right\}$. Then $e_{i}$ (or $e_{i}^{\prime}$ ) are related to each other by $e_{i+1}=r e_{i}$ (or $\boldsymbol{e}_{i+1}^{\prime}=r^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime}$ ) with $i=1,2$ and 3 , where $r$ (or $r^{\prime}$ ) is the rotation through $\pi / 6$ (or $-5 \pi / 6$ ); $r^{\prime}=-r$. $\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right|$ (or $\left|\boldsymbol{e}_{i}^{\prime}\right|$ ) take a common value, which we shall denote by $a$ (or $a^{\prime}$ ). In fact, the value of $a^{\prime}$ is nothing to do with the properties of the DQL and we can choose $a^{\prime}$ arbitrarily. $e_{i}$ (or $e_{i}^{\prime}$ ) are linearly independent over $Z$. Note that $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{4}$ are perpendicular to each other and so are $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{4}^{\prime}$.

The $\boldsymbol{Z}$-module $L_{P} \equiv P L=\left\{\Sigma_{i} n_{i} e_{i} \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right) \in Z^{4}\right\}$ (or $L_{P}^{\prime} \equiv P^{\prime} L$ ) with $P$ (or $P^{\prime}$ ) being the projector onto $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ) is a dense set in $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ) and called a prequasilattice. $E_{2}$ has an incommensurate orientation with respect to $L$ and $L \cap E_{2}=\{0\}$.

Twelve vectors $e_{i} \equiv(r)^{i-1} e_{1}$ (or $e_{1}^{\prime} \equiv\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{i-1} e_{1}^{\prime}$ ), $i=1-12$, represent the vertex vectors of a regular dodecagon $D$ (or $D^{\prime}$ ), whose point group is $G=12 \mathrm{~mm}$. The 4D rotation $\hat{r} \equiv r \oplus r^{\prime}$ is an element of the point group $\hat{G}(\simeq 12 \mathrm{~mm})$ of $L . \hat{G}$ is generated by $\hat{r}$ and the 4 D mirror $\hat{\sigma}=\sigma \oplus \sigma^{\prime}$, where $\sigma$ (or $\sigma^{\prime}$ ) is a 2D mirror whose axis includes $e_{1}$ (or $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). $\hat{G}$ leaves $E_{2}$ and $E_{2}^{\prime}$ invariant and acts onto these two subspaces as 2D point groups $G$ and $G^{\prime}(\approx G)$. We sometimes identify $\hat{G}$ with $G$. $L_{P}$ is invariant against $G$.

We may write $\hat{r}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}\right)=\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{R}$, where $\boldsymbol{R}$ is a unimodular matrix given in the appendix. From $\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}^{6}=-1$ and $\hat{r}^{12}=1$ we obtain $R^{6}=-I$ and $R^{12}=I$ (the unit matrix is denoted in this paper by $I$ irrespective of its dimensionality).

We can index $x \equiv \Sigma_{i} x_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \in E_{4}$ as $\left[x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right]$. We may say $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a rational point with respect to $L$ if $x_{i}$ are all rationals. Rationality of a point in $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ) with respect to $L_{P}$ (or $L_{P}^{\prime}$ ) is defined similarly. Then, $P$ (or $P^{\prime}$ ) is a bijection between the set of all the rational points in $E_{4}$ and that in $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ). If $x \in E_{4}$ is a rational point, we may index $P x$ and $P^{\prime} x$ with the same index as that of $x$.

The DQL obtained from $L$ with the projection method is written (Niizeki 1991b) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, W)=\left\{P(l+x) \mid l \in L, P^{\prime}(l+x) \in W\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in E_{4}$ is the 4 D phase vector and $W\left(\subset E_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ the window. We assume that $W$ is a polygon with the point symmetry $G^{\prime}(\approx 12 \mathrm{~mm})$ and its vertices are rational points with respect to $L_{P}^{\prime}$.

Several types of DQLs are obtained by choosing different windows (Niizeki and Mitani 1987). The canonical window $W_{c}$ of the DQL is a regular dodecagon whose vertices are given by $\boldsymbol{v}_{i}=\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{i-1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}, i=1-12$, with $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}=[1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}] / 3 \in E_{2}^{\prime}$. W $W_{c}$ includes $D^{\prime}$ ( $W_{\mathrm{c}} \geq D^{\prime}$ ). The relevant DQL is formed of the vertices of Stampfli's dodecagonal quasiperiodic tiling (Stampfli 1986), as shown in figure 1. The basic tiles of the tiling are a square, a regular triangle and a rhombus. On the other hand, if $W_{D^{י}} \equiv D^{\prime}$ is used as the window, we obtain a DQL associated with a tiling with squares, triangles and


Figure 1. The dodecagonal quasilattice obtained from the ad dodecagonal lattice $L$ by using the canonical window. The lattice points are given by the positions of the vertices of the dodecagonal quasiperiodic tiling. The centres of squares, triangles or rhombi are derived from the SPs of type $M, T$ or $C$ of $L$. The once inflated QL is superimposed with the broken lines. The bonds in the inflated QL have different directions from those of the original QL.
trigonal hexagons; a hexagon is a union of one square, two triangles and one rhombus. Let $W_{\mathrm{s}}$ be the dodecagonal star whose convex (or concave) vertices coincide with the vertices of $W_{\mathrm{c}}$ ( or $W_{D^{\prime}}$ ). Then $W_{D^{\prime}} \subsetneq W_{s} \subsetneq W_{c}$ and the DQL derived with $W_{\mathrm{s}}$ is obtained from that with $W_{D^{\prime}}$ by dividing each trigonal hexagon into four basic tiles.
$\boldsymbol{x} \in E_{4}$ is called a special point (sp) if its point symmetry with respect to $L$ is a centring group, which is a subgroup of $\hat{G}$. An SP is a rational point. There exist six classes of SPs (Niizeki 1989e, 1990). The six are represented by the symbols $\Gamma, X, C$, $M, T$ and $T^{\prime}$. Their representatives are [0000], [h000], [ $h 300$ ], [ $h 0 h 0$ ], [ $t 0 t 0$ ] and [tttt] with $h=\frac{1}{2}$ and $t=\frac{1}{3}$ and their point groups are $12 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{~mm}, 4 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~m}$ and 3 m , respectively.

The projection of an SP of $L$ onto $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ) is called an SP with respect to $L_{P}$ (or $\left.L_{P}^{\prime}\right)$. The vertices of $W_{c}$ are sps of type $T^{\prime}$. The vertices of the dodecagonal tiling in figure 1 are derived from $\Gamma$, the midpoints of the bonds from $X$ and the centres of rhombi, triangles or squares from $C, T$ or $M$.

The 12 mirrors of 12 mm are grouped into two classes, $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ (Niizeki 1991a); a mirror of type $\Delta$ passes a vertex of $D$, while that of type $\Sigma$ the midpoint of an edge. A representative of $\Delta$ (or $\Sigma$ ) is $\sigma$ (or $r \sigma$ ).

## 3. Self-similarity of the DQL

The 4D transformation $\hat{\tau}=\tau I \oplus \tau^{\prime} I$ with $\tau=2+\sqrt{3}$ being a Pv unit and $\tau^{\prime}=2-\sqrt{3}$ ( $=1 / \tau$ ), the algebraic conjugate of $\tau$, induces a unimodular transformation among $\varepsilon_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\tau}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}\right)=\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{4}\right) M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}$ is a unimodular matrix given in the appendix; $\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{M})=1$. It follows that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}} L=L$. Note that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=2+\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}^{-1}$, so that $\boldsymbol{M}=2 I+\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{R}^{-1} . \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ acts as a scale transformation onto each of the two subspaces $E_{2}$ and $E_{2}^{\prime}$ and is commutable with $\hat{G}$. Using these
results, we can prove that the DQL has a self-similarity whose scale is equal to $\tau=2+\sqrt{3}$ (Niizeki 1989a).

Since $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}+I$ is a regular matrix, we can conclude from $\boldsymbol{R}^{6}+I=0$ that $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}-\boldsymbol{R}^{2}+I=0$ and, consequently, $\left(\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)=I$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{M}_{0} \equiv \boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is a unimodular matrix, which is given in the appendix $\left(\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{0}\right)^{-1}=\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)$, and $\hat{\tau}_{0} \equiv \hat{r}+\hat{r}^{2}$ as well as $\hat{\tau}$ is an automorphism of $L ; \hat{\tau}_{0} L=L$. We may write $\hat{\tau}_{0}=\tau_{0} \oplus \tau_{0}^{\prime}$ with $\tau_{0}=r+r^{2}$ and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=r^{\prime}+\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}$. We obtain $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=-\left(\tau_{0}\right)^{-1}$ because $r^{\prime}=-r$ and $r^{4}-r^{2}+1=0$. On the other hand, $\tau_{0}$ is equal to $\tau_{\mathrm{p}} r_{8}$, where $\tau_{\mathrm{p}} \equiv(\sqrt{3}+1) / \sqrt{2}$ is the 'platinum ratio' and $r_{8}$ the rotation through $\pi / 4$. That is, $\tau_{0}$ (or $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=-\left(\tau_{0}\right)^{-1}$ ) is an expanding (or contracting) similarity transformation of $E_{2}$ (or $E_{2}^{\prime}$ ). It cannot be reduced to a pure dilatation because $r_{8} \notin \hat{G} ; \tau_{0}$ is an 'improper' dilatation. $\hat{r}, \hat{\tau}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ are commutable with each other and we obtain $\left(\hat{\tau}_{0}\right)^{2}=\hat{r}^{3} \hat{\tau}$. Since $\hat{r}^{3} \in \hat{G},\left(\hat{\tau}_{0}\right)^{2}$ is equivalent to $\hat{\tau}$ as a transformation of $L$. Note that $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ exchanges the two types of mirrors, $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ (Niizeki 1991a).

Using these results we can prove as in I that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x, W)=\tau_{0}^{-1} Q\left(\hat{\tau}_{0} x, \tau_{0}^{\prime} W\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tau_{0}^{\prime} W \subsetneq W, Q\left(\hat{\tau}_{0} x, \tau_{0}^{\prime} W\right)$ is a sublattice (subset) of $Q\left(\hat{\tau}_{0} x, W\right)$, so that $\tau_{0} Q(x, W) \varsubsetneqq$ $Q\left(\hat{\tau}_{0} x, W\right)$. It follows that the $D Q L$ has the 'improper' self-similarity with the transformation $\tau_{0}$ (Niizeki 1989a). The 'improper' inflation of the DQL in figure 1 is superimposed in the same figure. The directions of the bonds of the inflated DQL bisect those of the original ones because $15^{\circ} \equiv 45^{\circ} \bmod 30^{\circ}$. It is important in a later argument that the vertex vectors of the dodecagon $\tau_{0}^{\prime} D^{\prime}$ are given by $e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{i+1}^{\prime}, i=1-12$, with $e_{13}^{\prime} \equiv e_{1}^{\prime}$.

The 4D transformation $\hat{\rho} \equiv \rho I \oplus \rho^{\prime} I$ with $\rho=1+\sqrt{3}$ and $\rho^{\prime}=1-\sqrt{3}$ satisfies $\hat{\rho} L \subsetneq L$. More precisely, $\operatorname{det}(\hat{\rho})=4$, and $\hat{\rho} L$ is one of the four equivalent sublattices into which $L$ is divided (Niizeki 1989c). The integer matrix associated with $\rho$ is given by $M-I$ because $\rho=\tau-1$. $\rho$ is a (non-unit) pV number because $\left|\rho^{\prime}\right|<1$ and we can prove that the DQL has so-called the type II self-similarity with scale $\rho$ (Niizeki 1989c). A similar argument applies to the non-unit PV number $3+2 \sqrt{3}(=\sqrt{3} \tau)$.

## 4. The periodic approximants to the DQL

### 4.1. The general theory

A PA to the DQL is constructed by the projection method from a 4 D lattice $\tilde{L}$ which is a deformation of $L$ due to a linear phason strain. The strain makes a ${ }^{2 D}$ lattice plane $\Pi_{2}$ of $L$ overlap perfectly with the physical space $E_{2} ; \Phi \Pi_{2}=E_{2}$ and $\tilde{L}=\Phi L$, where $\Phi$ is the linear transformation associated with the phason strain. We shall call $\tilde{L}$ a commensurately deformed lattice (CDL) because it is fully commensurate with $E_{2}$, in contrast to $L$.
$\Pi_{2}$ is spanned by two lattice vectors of $L$, so that it is indexed by a $4 \times 2$ integer matrix $K$ whose columns index the two lattice vectors. $\Pi_{2}$ is indexed, equivalently, by the dual index $J$, which is a $2 \times 4$ integer matrix satisfying $J K=0$ and $\operatorname{rank}(J)=2$. Let $\hat{H}$ be a maximal subgroup of $\hat{G}$ such that it leaves $\Pi_{2}$ invariant. Then it is the point group of $\tilde{L}$ and is decomposed as $H \oplus H^{\prime}$ in which $H$ acts onto $E_{2}$ and $H^{\prime}$ onto $E_{2}^{\prime}$. In fact, $H \simeq H^{\prime}$ and $H$ is crystallographic in 2D. $\hat{H}$ is identified with $H$.

Every sP of $\tilde{L}$ has its associate among the sPs of $L$. If an SP of $L$ has inversion symmetry, it remains as an SP after the phason strain is introduced, while SPs of the types $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ remain as sPs only when the strain preserves the trigonal symmetry.

The basis vectors $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}\left(=\Phi \varepsilon_{i}\right)$ of $\tilde{L}$ are decomposed as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}=\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}, \tilde{e}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Only two of the $\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}^{\prime}$ s are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{Z},\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{2}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{3}^{\prime} \tilde{e}_{4}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{K}=0$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{e}_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{e}_{2}^{\prime} \tilde{e}_{3}^{\prime} \tilde{e}_{4}^{\prime}\right)=\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right) J \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i} \in E_{2}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent over $\boldsymbol{R}$. Two rows of $J$ represent rational approximations to the incommensurate ratios associated with the two directions $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$.

The Bravais lattice of the pas obtained from $\tilde{L}$ is given by $L_{2}=\tilde{L} \cap E_{2}$, while $L_{\mathrm{s}} \equiv P^{\prime} L$ is called the shadow lattice of $\tilde{L}$ (Niizeki 1991b). Two vectors defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)=\left(e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4}\right) K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are linearly independent over $R$ and belong to $L_{2} . a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ (or $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ ) are basis vectors of $L_{2}$ (or $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) only when $K$ (or $J$ ) is 'unimodular'. This case will be called irreducible. The point groups of $L_{2}$ and $L_{s}$ are given by $H$ and $H^{\prime}$.

A PA to the DQL (1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{W})=\left\{P(\boldsymbol{l}+\tilde{x}) \mid \boldsymbol{l} \in \tilde{L}, P^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{l}+\tilde{x}) \in \tilde{W}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{x}=\Phi x$ and $\tilde{W}$ being an appropriate deformation of $W$. The Bravais lattice of $\tilde{Q}$ is equal to $L_{2}$ and the space group is determined by the relative position of $P^{\prime} \tilde{x}$ to $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ (Niizeki 1991a). In particular, the point group is equal to that of $P^{\prime} \tilde{x}$ with respect to $L_{\mathrm{s}}$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\tilde{x}}$ is an SP of $\tilde{L}, P \tilde{x}$ is an SP of $\tilde{Q}$ (Niizeki 1991b). $\tilde{Q}$ is called a regular PA if its point symmetry conforms to the Bravais lattice $L_{2}$. In order to obtain a regular PA, it is necessary that $P^{\prime} \tilde{x}$ is located on an SP or a special line of $L_{s}$ (Niizeki 1991b). The space group of a PA associated with a special line of $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a subgroup of that associated with an SP which is located on the special line (Niizeki 1991b).

Let $\boldsymbol{v} \in E_{2}^{\prime}$ be a vertex of $W$ and assume that $v$ is indexed as $\left[\xi_{1} \xi_{2} \xi_{3} \xi_{4}\right]$ with $\xi_{i}$ being rationals. Then it is natural to assume that $\tilde{W}$ is a polygon and $\tilde{v}=\Sigma_{i} \xi_{i} \tilde{e}_{i}^{\prime}$ is the corresponding vertex of $\tilde{W}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}$. This prescription determines $\tilde{W}$ uniquely (cf I). We shall denote it symbolically as $\tilde{W}=\Phi W$. For example, the vertex vectors of the dodecagon $\tilde{D}^{\prime} \equiv \Phi D^{\prime}$ are given by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i}^{\prime}$.

The 2D lattice plane $\Pi_{2}$ is transformed by $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ to another one, $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}=\hat{\tau}_{0} \Pi_{2}$, which is indexed by $K^{\prime}=K M_{0}$ or $J^{\prime}=-J\left(M_{0}\right)^{-1}$. The cDL associated with $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$ is given by $\tilde{L}^{\prime}=\hat{\tau}_{0} \tilde{L}$ and we obtain $L_{2}^{\prime} \equiv \tilde{L}^{\prime} \cap E_{2}=\tau_{0} L_{2}$ and $L_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime} \equiv P^{\prime} \tilde{L}^{\prime}=\tau_{0}^{\prime} L_{\mathrm{s}} ; L_{2}^{\prime}$ and $L_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}$ are similar to $L_{2}$ and $L_{\mathrm{s}}$, respectively. The point group of $\tilde{L}^{\prime}$ is given by $\hat{\tau}_{0} \hat{H}\left(\hat{\tau}_{0}\right)^{-1}$, which is isomorphic with $\hat{H}$. $\tilde{L}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $L$ with the phason strain $\Phi^{\prime}=\hat{\tau}_{0} \Phi\left(\hat{\tau}_{0}\right)^{-1}$, which is smaller than $\Phi$.

We shall denote by $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}(y, V)$ the PA which is derived from $\tilde{L}^{\prime}$ with an arbitrary phase vector $y$ and a window $V$. Then we can prove in a similar way as in I that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W}\right)=\tau_{0} \tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{W}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\prime}=\hat{\tau}_{0} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$. On the other hand, $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\prime}, \tilde{W}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\tilde{W}^{\prime}=\Phi^{\prime} W$ is a PA to $Q\left(\hat{\tau}_{0} x, W\right)$ because $\tilde{x}^{\prime}=\Phi^{\prime} \hat{\tau}_{0} x$. Moreover, $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W}\right) \varsubsetneqq \tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tilde{W}^{\prime}\right)$ provided that $\tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W} \varsubsetneqq \tilde{W}^{\prime}$. Thus we can conclude that $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tilde{W}^{\prime}\right)$ is the Dar of $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{W})$.

Let $\bar{W}_{c}^{\prime}=\Phi^{\prime} W_{\mathrm{c}}$ and assume that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{\prime}$ are the vertex vectors of $\tilde{W}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime}$. Then we can show that the vertex vectors of the dodecagon $\tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W}_{\mathrm{c}}$ are given by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i}^{\prime}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i+1}^{\prime}, i=1-12$, with $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{13}^{\prime} \equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{1}^{\prime}$. A similar relation remains correct also in the case of the window $W_{D^{\prime}}$ or $W_{s}$. $\tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W}$ is invariant against the point group which is the restriction of the point group of $\tilde{L}^{\prime}$ onto $E_{2}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tilde{W}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tilde{Q}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}^{\prime}, \tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{W}\right)$ have a common space group. Using
these results and making a similar reasoning as that in I we can conclude that the pas to the DQL are grouped into several series, each of which is derived from the prototype pa in it by a successive application of the deflation and rescaling (DAR) and the space group is common among the PAs in the series.

A series of PAs, $\tilde{Q}_{0}, \tilde{Q}_{1}, \tilde{Q}_{2}, \ldots$, can be divided into two subseries $\tilde{Q}_{0}, \tilde{Q}_{2}, \tilde{Q}_{4}, \ldots$ and $\tilde{Q}_{1}, \tilde{Q}_{3}, \tilde{Q}_{5}, \ldots$ and two consecutive members in each subseries are related by the 'proper' DAR, which is defined by using the transformation $\hat{\tau}$; the second subseries is derived from the first by the 'improper' DAR.

### 4.2. The Fibonacci number analogues associated with $2+\sqrt{3}$

The quadratic irrational $\tau=2+\sqrt{3}$ is the positive root of the equation $\tau^{2}=4 \tau-1$. Iterating the equality $\tau=4-1 / \tau$ yields an infinite continued fraction, though it is not regular. It gives rise to a series of rational approximants to $\tau$, which are the ratios of consecutive numbers of the integer series defined by the recursion relation $u_{k+1}=$ $4 u_{k}-u_{k-1}$ with $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{1}=1$; early members of the series $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is listed in table 1 . From the recursion relation, we can prove that $u_{k+1}-u_{k} / \tau=\tau^{k}$, so that $u_{k+1}-u_{k} / \tau^{\prime}=$ $\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{k}$ or, equivalently, $u_{k+1}-u_{k} \tau=1 / \tau^{k}$, which gives a measure of the accuracy of the approximant $\tau \approx u_{k+1} / u_{k}$. Note that $u_{k+1} / u_{k}>\tau$.

Table 1. The Fibonacci like series associated with $2+\sqrt{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{v_{k}\right\}=\{1,1,3,11,41, \ldots\} \\
& \left\{u_{k}\right\}=\{0,1,4,15,56, \ldots\} \\
& \left\{w_{k}\right\}=\{1,2,7,26,97, \ldots\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us derive from the series $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ another two, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$, by $v_{k} \equiv u_{k}-u_{k-1}$ and $w_{k} \equiv u_{k}+v_{k}$ (see table 1). The new series are generated by the same recursion relation as that of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ but with different initial conditions. The parity alternates in $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$, while $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is composed of odd numbers. Note that $v_{k+1}-v_{k} / \tau=(\sqrt{3}-1) \tau^{k}$ and $w_{k+1}=w_{k} / \tau=\sqrt{3} \tau^{k}$.

Best approximants to $\tau$ are obtained by the continued fraction theory from its regular continued fraction expansion. They are grouped into three series $\left\{u_{k+1} / u_{k}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{k+1} / v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{k+1} / w_{k}\right\} ; u_{k+1} / u_{k}$ and $v_{k+1} / v_{k}$ are principal convergents to the continued fraction but $w_{k+1} / w_{k}$ is an intermediate one between $u_{k+1} / u_{k}$ and $v_{k+2} / v_{k+1}$. Note that $\left\{v_{k+1} / v_{k}\right\},\left\{w_{k+1} / w_{k}\right\}<\tau$. Since $w_{k-1}<v_{k}<u_{k}<w_{k}<v_{k+1}$ for $k \geqslant 2$, the three series of approximants are merged into one grand series and they are the members of the three-cycles in the grand series.

If $p / q$ is a best approximant to $\tau,(p-2 q) / q(=p / q-2)$ is to $\sqrt{3}$. Note, in this respect, that $u_{k+1}-2 u_{k}=w_{k}$ and $w_{k+1}-2 w_{k}=3 u_{k}$. That is, $w_{k} / u_{k}, t_{k} / v_{k}$ and $3 u_{k} / w_{k}$ are best approximants to $\sqrt{3}$ with $t_{k} \equiv v_{k+1}-2 v_{k}\left(=u_{k}+u_{k-1}\right)$. Note that $w_{k}+\sqrt{3} u_{k}=\tau^{k}$ and $t_{k}+\sqrt{3} v_{k}=(\sqrt{3}-1) \tau^{k}$.

Let us assume that $p / q$ is an approximant to $\sqrt{3}$. Then $\tau(p+\sqrt{3} q)=p^{\prime}+\sqrt{3} q^{\prime}$ with

$$
\binom{p^{\prime}}{q^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3  \tag{8}\\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\binom{p}{q} .
$$

$p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}$ is a more accurate approximant to $\sqrt{3}$ than $p / q ; p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}$ is the next generation to
$p / q$ with respect to the scaling with $\tau$. For example, if $p / q=w_{k} / u_{k}$, then $p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}=$ $w_{k+1} / u_{k+1}$. Similarly, the 'next generation' to $p / q$ with respect to the scaling with $\rho$ $(=1+\sqrt{3})$ is given by $(p+3 q) /(p+q)$. For example, the 'next generation' in this sense to $w_{k} / u_{k}$ is $t_{k+1} / v_{k+1}$.

### 4.3. The mother lattices of the pas with mirrors

We shall investigate only the pas having two mirrors perpendicular to each other. The mirrors must be of the same type ( $\Delta$ or $\Sigma$ ). We consider for the moment the case of type $\Delta$ mirrors, which are assumed to be parallel to $e_{1}$ and $e_{3}$ in $E_{2}$. Let us take the Cartesian coordinate systems for $E_{2}$ and $E_{2}^{\prime}$ so that the two axes coincide with the two mirrors. Then we may write

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{e}_{3}^{\prime} e_{4}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccr}
2 & -\sqrt{3} & 1 & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & -1 & \sqrt{3} & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $a^{\prime}=2$. The first (or second) component of $e_{i}^{\prime}$ refers to the horizontal (or vertical) mirror in $E_{2}^{\prime}$, so that $\sqrt{3}$ in the first (or second) row in (9) is the incommensurate ratio associated with the relevant mirror axes. We take a 2 D lattice plane $\Pi_{2}$ indexed by the dual index

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 q & -p & q & 0  \tag{10}\\
0 & -s & r & -2 s
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $p / q$ (or $r / s$ ) is a rational approximant to $\sqrt{3}$ in the first (or second) row in (9). The dual index $K$ to $J$ is given by

$$
{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
p & 2 q & 0 & -q  \tag{11}\\
-s & 0 & 2 s & r
\end{array}\right)
$$

which satisfies $J K=0$. We can assume that $p / q$ and $r / s$ are simple fractions. Then $J$ and $K$ are both irreducible (unimodular) except for the case where $p \equiv s \bmod 2$ and $q \equiv r \bmod 2$ but they are both reducible in the exceptional case.

The cDL associated with $\Pi_{2}$ is characterized by the pair of fractions $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$. Note that $\langle r / s, p / q\rangle$ is equivalent to $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$ because they are transformed to each other by the 4D mirror $\hat{r}^{3} \hat{\sigma}(\epsilon \hat{G})$. We are interested in the case where both $p / q$ and $r / s$ are best approximants to $\sqrt{3}$.

From (5) and (11) we can conclude that $a_{1}$ (or $a_{2}$ ) is horizontal (or vertical) and $a_{1} \equiv\left|a_{1}\right|=a\left(p+\sqrt{3} q\right.$ ) and $a_{2} \equiv\left|a_{2}\right|=a(r+\sqrt{3} s)$. Similarly, $b_{1}$ (or $b_{2}$ ) is horizontal (or vertical) and $b_{1} \equiv\left|b_{1}\right|=\sqrt{3} a^{\prime} /(p+\sqrt{3} q)$ and $b_{2} \equiv\left|b_{2}\right|=\sqrt{3} a^{\prime} /(r+\sqrt{3} s)$. If $K$ (or $\left.J\right)$ is irreducible, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ (or $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ ) are the basis vectors of $L_{2}$ (or $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ ); the Bravais class to which $L_{2}$ (or $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) belongs is p 4 mm (a square lattice) or pmm (a rectangular lattice). On the contrary, if it is reducible, the centring takes place and the basis vectors are $a_{1}^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) / 2$ and $a_{2}^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) / 2$ (or $b_{1}^{\prime}=b_{1}-b_{2}$ and $\left.b_{2}^{\prime}=b_{1}+b_{2}\right) ; L_{2}$ (or $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) belongs to p 6 mm (a hexagonal lattice) or cmm (a rhombic lattice).

The 2D lattice plane $\hat{\tau} \Pi_{2}$ of $L$ is indexed by $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{M}$ ( or $\boldsymbol{J}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{M}^{-1}$ ). $\boldsymbol{K}^{\prime}$ (or $\boldsymbol{J}^{\prime}$ ) takes the form (11) (or (10)) but $p, q, r$ and $s$ are replaced by their next generations, $p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime}(\mathrm{cf}(8))$. Consequently, $\hat{\tau}\langle p / q, r / s\rangle=\left\langle p^{\prime} / q^{\prime}, r^{\prime} / s^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

We consider next the effect of the transformation $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ onto a CDL. Since $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ exchanges the two types of mirrors, $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$, it changes the type of mirrors of a cDL to the other
type. Therefore, a CDL with type $\Sigma$ mirrors is written with an appropriate $\operatorname{CDL}\langle p / q$, $r / s\rangle$ as $\hat{\tau}_{0}\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$, which we shall denote as $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$. Then, the relevant lattice plane of $L$ is indexed by $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \equiv \boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{M}_{0}$ (or $\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \equiv-\boldsymbol{J}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{0}\right)^{-1}$ ). We obtain

$$
{ }^{t}\left(K_{\Sigma}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q & t & t & q \\
-u & -v & v & u
\end{array}\right) \quad J_{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
t & -q & -q & t \\
v & -u & u & -v
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $t=p+q, u=r+2 s$ and $v=r+s$. Therefore, the two mirrors of $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$ are parallel to $e_{2}+e_{3}$ and $e_{3}-e_{2}$ in $E_{2}$. The expression for $J_{\Sigma}$ is natural because the components of $e_{i}^{\prime}, i=1-4$, along the mirror axis $e_{2}^{\prime}+e_{3}^{\prime}$ (or $e_{3}^{\prime}-e_{2}^{\prime}$ ) are proportional to $(\rho,-1,-1, \rho)\left(\operatorname{or}\left(-\rho^{\prime},-1,1, \rho^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $\rho=1+\sqrt{3}$ (or $\left.\rho^{\prime}=1-\sqrt{3}\right)$ and $t / q$ (or $v / u$ ) is an approximant to $\rho$ (or $\left|\rho^{\prime}\right|$ ).

Since a pA associated with $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$ is constructed with $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$ by (7), we need not consider the $\operatorname{cdL}\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$ any further. It should be noted, however, that pas of type $\Delta$ and those of type $\Sigma$ have no relations in the case of the octagonal QL (see I) or the decagonal one (Niizeki 1991b).

### 4.4. Several important series of PAs

We will not be interested in a pA such that the values of the two lattice constants $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are very different. We consider in this section the case where $p / q$ is fixed to $w_{k} / u_{k}$ and $r / s$ takes one of the four choices: (I) $w_{k} / u_{k}$, (II) $t_{k} / v_{k}$, (III) $3 u_{k} / w_{k}$ and (IV) $w_{k-1} / u_{k-1}$. That is, $a_{1}$ is fixed to $a \tau^{k}$ and $a_{2} / a_{1}=1, \sqrt{3}-1, \sqrt{3}$ and $1 / \tau$, respectively. On the basis of the parity sequences in $\left\{u_{k}\right\},\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ together with $t_{k} \equiv v_{k} \bmod$ 2, we can show easily that $K$ and $J$ are irreducible in I and II but are reducible in III and IV. More precisely, $L_{2}$ and $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ belong both to $44 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{pmm}, \mathrm{p} 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ and cmm for I, II, III and IV, respectively. The unit cell of $L_{2}$ in IV is similar to the rhombic tile in the DQL. Since $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$ and $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$ are distinguished, there exist eight series of CDLs, I $\Delta$, I $\Sigma$, II $\Delta$, II $\Sigma$, III $\Delta$, III $\Sigma$, IV $\Delta$ and IV $\Sigma$. A cDL in each of the eight series can be designated, alternatively, by the series symbol and the number in the series, e.g. I $\Delta_{k}$, I $\Sigma_{k}$, II $\Delta_{k}$, etc.

I $\Delta$ and $I \Sigma$, for example, can be considered to be subseries of the union series, $I \equiv I \Delta \cup I \bar{\Sigma}$, which is generated from $I \Delta_{0}$ by a successive application of $\hat{\tau}_{0}$, while $I \Delta$ (or $I \Sigma$ ) is from $I \Delta_{0}$ (or $I \Sigma_{0}$ ) by a successive application of $\hat{\tau}$.

We consider only regular approximants associated with the SPs of $L_{\mathrm{s}}$. The relevant sps are $\Gamma$ ([00]) and $M$ ( $[h h]$ with $h=\frac{1}{2}$ ) for the square lattice, $\Gamma, M, X([h 0])$ and $Y$ ( $[0 h]$ ) for the rectangular lattice, $\Gamma$ and $T([21] / 3)$ for the hexagonal lattice and $\Gamma$ and $M$ for the rhombic lattice. The point group of each of these $S P s$ is the same as that of $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ except for the case of $T$, whose point group is 3 m . A PA associated with an sp will be represented by the symbol for the SP as $\tilde{Q}[\Gamma], \tilde{Q}[X]$, etc, or, more precisely, as $\mathrm{I} \Delta_{k}[\Gamma], \mathrm{II} \Sigma_{k}[X]$, etc.

The SPs of class $C$ of the 4D dodecagonal lattice $L$ have mirrors of type $\Sigma$ only and the mirrors are lost by the introduction of the phason strain of type $\Delta$; only the inversion symmetry is preserved on the deformation. On the other hand, the sps of classes $X$, $M$ and $R$ have mirrors of type $\Delta$. These mirrors are lost by the phason strain unless they are parallel to the mirrors of the strain. Thus, cDLs in I $\Delta$, for example, have only two classes of SPs with the point group 4 mm ; they are derived from $\Gamma$ and $M$ of $L$.

The space group of $\hat{Q}[\Gamma]$ is always identical to that of $L_{2}$. However, a few considerations are necessary for the cases of other SPs. We begin by considering the
case $\tilde{Q}[M]=I \Delta_{k}[M]$, whose point group is 4 mm . A PA with the space group p 4 mm has two classes of SPs whose point groups are 4 mm . Such SPs must be derived from SPs of classes $\Gamma$ and $M$ of $I \Delta_{k}$. However, the latter SPs are projected onto the SPs of $I \Delta_{k}[\Gamma]$ only. Therefore, the space group of $I \Delta_{k}[M]$ cannot be $p 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and is determined to be p 4 g . The space group may be explicitly shown as $\mathrm{I} \Delta_{k}[M] / \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~g}$. By similar arguments, we can determine the space groups of pAs of other cases. These results are summarized in table 2.

Several pAs with different space groups are presented in figures $2-5 . I \Delta_{0}[\Gamma], I I I \Delta_{0}[\Gamma]$ and $\operatorname{IV} \Sigma_{0}[\Gamma]$ are the prototype approximants in the relevant series; they are a square

Table 2. The space groups of regular approximants in the four series I, II, III and IV. The Bravais classes of the four are shown in the first column. The second block of columns show the space groups when the phase vector $P^{\prime} x$ is located on the special points of $L_{s}$ (the shadow lattice) as shown in the first row; $M$ in the row should be read as $T$ for case III. The last column shows the ratios of the lattice constants of the rectangular unit cell.

|  |  | $\Gamma$ | $M$ | $X$ | $Y$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $a_{2} / a_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| I | p4mm | p4mm | p4g |  |  | 1 |
| II | pmm | pmm | pgg | pgm | pmig | $\sqrt{3}-1$ |
| III | p6mm | p6mm | p31m |  |  | $\sqrt{3}$ |
| IV | cmm | cmm | cmm |  |  | $2-\sqrt{3}$ |



Figure 2. The periodic approximants $(a) I \Delta_{1}(\Gamma) / \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $(b) I \Delta_{1}(M) / \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~g}$ (full lines) and thèivi iñáations (broken liñes). The inniated pas arê equal to $I \Sigma_{0}(\Gamma)$ añd $I \Sigma_{0}(M)$ excepr for their scales. Both the two PAs with full lines are derived from the cDL $I \Delta_{1}$. The unit cell of $(a)$ is the square whose corners coincide with the centres of the 12 -pronged vertices, while that of (b) is shown with chained lines. The vertices (or edge centres) of the unit cell in (b) are the special points of the point group 4 (or mm ).

(a)

(6)

Figure 3. The periodic approximants (a) $1 \Sigma_{1}(\Gamma) / \mathrm{p} 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $(b) I \Delta_{2}(X) / \mathrm{pgm}$. In (a) the PA is derived from $1 \Delta_{1}(\Gamma)$ in figure $2(a)$ by the improper DAR or from $I \Sigma_{0}(\Gamma)$ (cf the PA in broken lines in figure $2(a)$ ) by the proper one. The unit cell is the square formed by the centres of the 12 -pronged vertices. In (b) the bars (or arrows) show the mirrors (or glides) of pgm.


Figure 4. The periodic approximants (a) III $\Sigma_{1}(\Gamma) / \mathrm{p} 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (full lines) and (b) III $\Delta_{1}(T) /$ p31m. In ( $a$ ) the centres of the 12 -pronged vertices are the lattice points of the Bravais lattice. The lattice points in the six trigonal hexagons (full lines) are ignored because of the 'frustration'. The once inflated QL (III $\Delta_{1}(\Gamma) / \mathrm{p} 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is shown by broken lines. In (b) the trigonal hexagons are due to the 'frustration'. The PA is considered, alternatively, to be a PA to the DQL derived with the window $W_{D}$. The centres of the trigonal hexagons with a common orientation form the Bravais lattice of the PA.


Figure 5. The periodic approximants (a) IV $\Delta_{2}(\Gamma) / \mathrm{cmm}$ and (b) IV $\Delta_{2}(M) / \mathrm{cmm}$. The Bravais lattices of $(a)$ and $(b)$ are identical; the rectangular unit cell is shown by the chain lines in ( $b$ ).
lattice, a triangular one and a rhombic one, each of which is associated with the periodic tilings by only squares, triangles or rhombi in the DQL. A PA may incur symmetry breaking due to a 'frustration'; some lattice points of the mother lattice are projected on the boundaries of the window and the 'frustration' cannot be resolved without breaking the symmetry of the PA (Niizeki 1991b).

## 5. Discussion

We have obtained four series, I, II, III and IV, of cDLs by restricting $p / q$ in $\langle p / q$, $r / s\rangle$ to $\left\{w_{k} / u_{k}\right\}$, i.e. one of the three series of best approximants to $\sqrt{3}$. We can obtain similar series from the remaining two, $\left\{t_{k} / v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{3 u_{k} / w_{k}\right\}$. Since $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ are odd series, the square $\operatorname{cDL}\left\langle t_{k} / v_{k}, t_{k} / v_{k}\right\rangle$ is reducible. In fact, it is equivalent to $I \Sigma_{k-1}$ $\left(=\hat{\tau}_{0} \mathrm{I} \Delta_{k-1}\right)$. On the contrary, $\left\langle 3 u_{k} / w_{k}, 3 u_{k} / w_{k}\right\rangle$ is irreducible. The lattice constant $a_{1}$ ( $=a_{2}$ ) of the relevant $L_{2}$ is $\sqrt{3}$ times that of $I \Delta_{k}$. We shall denote the resulting series of cDLs as I' $\Delta$. Similarly, series IV has two variants IV' and IV' and the lattice constants of $L_{2}$ of the variant CDLs are $(\sqrt{3}-1)$ and $\sqrt{3}$ times those of the corresponding CDL in IV. We can obtain, however, no variants from II or III provided that $r / s$ is restricted
to best approximants to $\sqrt{3}$. In summary, we have obtained six new series of cDLs, $I^{\prime} \Delta, I^{\prime} \Sigma, I V^{\prime} \Delta, I V^{\prime} \Sigma, I V^{\prime \prime} \Delta$ and $I V^{\prime \prime} \Sigma$. The space groups of the pas in the variant series are similar to those in their originals.

The three series of PAs, IV $\Delta[P], \operatorname{IV}^{\prime} \Delta[P]$ and $I^{\prime \prime} \Delta[P]$ with $P=\Gamma$ or $M$, are merged into one grand series of PAs and they are the members of the three-cycles in the grand series; the space groups are common (cmm) among the pas in the grand series and the unit cells of the pas are similar. It can be shown that the last two series are related to the first by the transformation associated with the type II self-similarity of the DQL. Similarly, $I \Delta[\Gamma]$ and $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \Delta[\Gamma]$ are merged into a grand series with two-cycles.

The phason strain in a cDL is completely characterized by the $2 \times 2$ block $S$ at the bottom left of $\Phi$ (see I). $S$ is diagonal for the phason strain with two mirrors and $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ represent the magnitudes of the phason strain along the two mirror axes. A brief calculation yields that $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ of $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle$ are given by $c(\sqrt{3} q-p) /(p+\sqrt{3} q)$ and $c(r-\sqrt{3} s) /(r+\sqrt{3} s)$ with $c=a^{\prime} / a$, while those of $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle_{\Sigma}$ are $\tau^{-1}$ times those of $\langle p / q, r / s\rangle . S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ decrease by factor $1 / \tau^{2 k}$ in each series of cDLs. Naturally, $\left|S_{11}\right|=\left|S_{22}\right|$ for a square cDL or a hexagonal one; signs are different between $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ for a square cDl because $\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{3}\left(\in H^{\prime}\right)$ is not equal to $r^{3}(\in H)$ but to $-r^{3}$. It is interesting that the sign of $S_{11}$ (or $S_{22}$ ) is constant in each series of cDLs, in contrast to the case of other QLs in 2D and 3D where it alternates (Niizeki 1991b, c); this is because each series of approximants to $\sqrt{3}$ tends to $\sqrt{3}$ from one side only.

We have discussed in this paper PAs to so-called Bravais-type dQls. A non-Bravaistype qL is obtained from a Bravais-type one by an appropriate decoration (see, for example, Niizeki 1989e). The atomic structure of a real quasicrystal is based usually on a non-Bravais-type QL (Janssen 1988). There exist many non-Bravais-type dQLs (Niizeki 1988, 1989b, d, Socolar 1989, Nissen 1990, Stampfli 1990). The decagonal QL associated with Penrose's rhombic tiling is also of non-Bravais-type (Niizeki 1989b). The previous theory (Niizeki 1991a) of the space groups of PAs to a QL and the theory of their 'self-similarity' developed in I can be extended to include the non-Bravais-type Qls. This subject will be fully developed in a separate paper.
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## Appendix

Three unimodular matrices (a) $R$, (b) $M$ and (c) $M_{0}$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(b)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## References

Janssen T 1988 Phys. Rep. 168 55-113
Nissen H-U 1990 Quasicrystals, Networks, and Molecules of Fivefold Symmetry ed I Hargittai (New York: VCH) pp 181-99
Niizeki K 1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 2167-75

- 1989a J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 193-204
- 1989b J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 205-18
- 1989c J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 1859-69
——1989d J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 1871-81
_-1989e J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 4281-93
-1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 4569-80
_1991a J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 1023-34
__ 1991b J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 3641-54
_—1991c J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 4873-87
Niizeki K and Mitani H 1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 L405-10
Socolar J E S 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 10519-31
Stampfli S 1986 Helv. Phys. Acta 59 267-77
- 1990 Quasicrystals, Networks, and Molecules of Fivefold Symmetry ed I Hargittai (New York: VCH) pp 201-21

